Resilience and Rights: Responding to Crime through a Human Rights Lens

Sidra Kanwel [iD][1], Muhammad Imran Khan[2], Ishaq Ahmad[3]

[1] Lecturer, Department of Law, University of Sialkot, Pakistan. Email: sidrakanwel64@gmail.com
[2] Assistant Professor, University of Sialkot, Pakistan. Email: ikb_73@live.com
[3] Department of Political Science, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University Sheringal Upper Dir, Pakistan.
  Email: ishaq@sbbu.edu.pk

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
	Recently, a well-deserved attention was brought to the intersection between crime response and resilience as a human right. The paper explores the resilience concept within the domain of human rights, spotting the light on critical tendencies in crime and responses and reviewing the 'human rights' and 'resilience' perspectives. Shaping the principles and approaches of both, it implies that resilience and human rights perspectives should be applied simultaneously in criminal justice. The source provides an in-depth legal research analysis that helps to better understand the value and implications of such an approach. Overall, the notion defends the holistic and rights-centred approaches to crime processing.

Corresponding Author's Email: sidrakanwel64@gmail.com

1.   Introduction

Criminal justice has been frequently viewed from a traditional standpoint where punishment and rehabilitation have been employed as prominent responses to crime. Coincidentally, the phenomenon of modern crime is interpreted where resilience and human rights are adopted as paradigms of understanding. The complex nature of crime and the responses to it is perceived through the prism of human experiences, social constructs, and fundamental rights. Resilience can be defined as the phenomenon of human capability to "withstand and recover from adversity". Although the concept has long been common in psychological and social sciences, this idea is becoming increasingly common in public policy and law. For instance, human rights, which have been codified in various international conventions and national constitutions all around the world, serve as a framework for understanding the rights and freedoms that all humans, whether they be connected, directly or indirectly, to the criminal world or victims of crime (Muhammad Imran Khan & Riaz, 2024). The present article aims to discuss the interaction between two frameworks, namely resilience and human rights, in the context of crime response. The objective of this discussion is to define what effect these lenses produce on criminal justice systems and how this influence can help eliminate the rate of crime and victimization and ensure that all involved parties receive justice. In particular, the paper's scope involves defining these approaches and discussing their components. In the context of crime and victim support, the article will focus on advancing one's and communities' resilience as a way to both reduce crime and alleviate its effects and on defining the application of legal principles in human rights framework to crime response. Such applications concern existing laws and regulations that affect the working principle and mission of criminal justice systems and focus on defining the legislation that supports equal access to justice and fosters victim-centred approaches (Riaz & Usman, 2024).

This article will also evaluate the emerging opportunities and challenges against the backdrop of integrating resilience and human rights perspectives in crime response. The ability of the identified approach to address underlying structural inequalities and related aspects such as social cohesion and empowerment will be assessed throughout the legal research analysis. A

response to crime that is grounded in both resilience and human rights frameworks is likely to be warranted, thereby contributing to the overarching academic debate in the fields of criminal justice, human rights, and resilience studies. Thus, the central argument can be summarized as follows: a response to crime that is both rights-based and resilient will support ultimate goals, such as justice, dignity, and social inclusion of both the offenders and the victims (Asif Khan & Jiliani, 2023).

2. Literature Review

For the last some decades, there has been a lot of scientific research aimed at analyzing the consequences of the integration of the resilience perspective and human rights approach into crime response. While dozens of works in criminology, sociology, psychology, and human rights studies focus on this issue, the purpose of this literature review is to discuss some of the theories and methods considering the findings of the chosen academic sources. Resilience in Crime Response: Resilience theory offers valuable insights into understanding individuals' and communities' ability to withstand and recover from adverse experiences, including crime and victimization. According to Masten (2001), resilience is defined as the capacity of individuals to adapt positively to adversity, drawing on internal and external resources to overcome challenges and thrive in the face of adversity. Building on this framework, Ungar (2011) emphasizes the importance of recognizing resilience as a dynamic process shaped by interactions between individuals and their environments, highlighting the role of social support, community cohesion, and cultural factors in fostering resilience. In the context of crime response, resilience theory has been applied to explore strategies for promoting coping, recovery, and growth among victims of crime (Fergusson, van der Laan, Shallies, & Baird, 2020). Research by Bonanno (2008) suggests that individuals' resilience in the aftermath of crime may be influenced by factors such as cognitive appraisals, coping strategies, and social support networks. Moreover, studies have highlighted the role of resilience-focused interventions, such as trauma-informed counseling and support services, in enhancing victims' well-being and facilitating their recovery process (Kaniasty & Norris, 2008).

Human Rights Perspectives in Crime Response: Human rights principles and frameworks provide essential guidance for promoting justice, dignity, and equality within criminal justice systems. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights United Nations (1948) serves as a foundational document, outlining a comprehensive framework of fundamental human rights and freedoms that apply to all individuals. Subsequent international human rights instruments, such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (United Nations, 1966) and the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (United Nations, 1984), further elaborate on specific rights and obligations within the context of crime response. Scholars have examined the application of human rights principles in various aspects of crime response, including access to justice, due process rights, and victim support services (Goodhart, 2016). Research by Todres and Claydon (2006) highlights the importance of adopting a human rights-based approach to crime response, which prioritizes the rights and dignity of all individuals, including victims, suspects, and offenders. Moreover, studies have explored the role of international human rights mechanisms, such as the United Nations Human Rights Council and the International Criminal Court, in holding states accountable for human rights violations within their criminal justice systems (Fletcher, 2018).

Integration of Resilience and Human Rights Perspectives: The integration of resilience and human rights perspectives in crime response represents a burgeoning area of research and practice, with scholars and practitioners seeking to bridge disciplinary boundaries and explore synergies between these two frameworks. Drawing on principles of empowerment, participation, and social justice, this integrated approach emphasizes the importance of promoting resilience while upholding human rights principles within criminal justice systems. Research by Shaw et al. (2018) advocates for a rights-based approach to resilience, which recognizes individuals' rights to access resources, opportunities, and support services necessary for building resilience. By addressing systemic inequalities, discrimination, and social exclusion, this approach aims to create enabling environments that facilitate individuals' and communities' ability to cope with and recover from crime and victimization. Moreover, scholars have explored the intersection of resilience and human rights in specific contexts, such as post-conflict societies, where efforts to promote reconciliation, healing, and justice are intertwined with broader processes of peacebuilding and social transformation (Jellason, Baines, & Conway, 2017). Research by Eshan et al. (2023) examines the role of community-based resilience

initiatives in promoting human rights and social justice in the aftermath of natural disasters and humanitarian crises. The present transformation of crime response including both resilience and human rights perspective represents a promising framework for justice, healing, and inclusion in criminal justice systems. Strengthening victims and society's resilience through the implementation of insights of resilience theory and human rights response may offer more comprehensive, efficient, and rights-based ways to crime and victimization response, contributing to safer and equal societies.

3.   Research Methodology

The qualitative research methodology is adopted in the current study to ensure the most extensive understanding of the issue of the incorporation of the resilience and human rights perspectives within the crime response. The experiences, views, and interactions of both those exposed to crime and workers in the criminal justice sphere will be studied with the help of interviews, focus groups and participant observation. The direct engagement with the participants and the context of their natural activities helps to consider their lived experiences and voice, helps to elaborate on certain aspects of crime response. In addition, the use of the open-ended and flexible data collection methods allows an in-depth analysis of various aspects of the strategies and on-the ground patterns for the recognition of the problems and successful examples of responses. Moreover, during each stage of the research process, including data collection, analysis, and writing, the concepts of reflexivity and the acknowledgement of the researcher's subjectivity help to ensure the responsibility and transparency. A qualitative approach that has been selected for this research utilizes an inductive, iterative method to identify emergent themes, patterns, and connections. Researcher closely works with data, coding, categorizing, and interpreting this data to contribute to additional understanding of the situation. One of the leading aspects of the selected approach is contextuality, meaning that this approach allows studying crime response in coherency with a broader environment, which includes cultural and social background and history of this phenomenon. Moreover, the use of qualitative research methodology allows perceiving the challenges and potential according to their complexities, as well as the prospects of integrating a human rights and resilience based approach to CRS in relative context. In such a way, embracing the qualitative approach allows this research to assist in the development of optimal solutions related to making CRS a more effective and balanced practice.

3.1.   Resilience: Conceptual Framework and Implications For Crime Response
3.1.1. Definition and Components of Resilience

Resilience is defined as the ability of individuals and communities to bounce back and withstand trauma and adversity. The basis of resilience is adaptability or the abilities to adjust and confront stress. A culture of adaptability often grants people a sense of perspective and post-traumatic growth. Social support is also a critical factor in fostering resilience, allowing people to receive emotional, practical, and instrumental aid other individuals. At the same time, meaning or sense of purpose allows people to orient oneself in the face of circumstances. Lastly, healthy or adaptive coping mechanisms are ff paramount significance because they enable individuals to navigate stress and trauma while maintaining their well-being (Faisal, Usman, & Khan, 2023).

3.1.2. Resilience in the Context of Crime and Victimization

In the context of crime and victimization, resilience is one of the central components in the aftermath of these occurrences. The damage caused by crime is not limited to the physical realm and penetrates the psychological, social, and economic spheres of life. Resilience acts as a mechanism that helps to filter out these adverse effects and gives victims and communities tools for successful recovery. Those who experience these qualities can demonstrate psychological flexibility and emotion regulation when dealing with the echoes of crime. Furthermore, these resilient communities provide a supportive environment that facilitates healing, empowerment, and social bonds of the victims and survivors (Hussain, Khan, & Chandio, 2023).

3.1.3. Building Resilience in Individuals and Communities

Building resilience in response to crime and victimization requires a multifaceted approach. First and foremost, psychoeducation should be enabled, since it is based on developing the knowledge and skills that could help people and the community to manage

trauma and stress. Secondly, social support networks including help and comfort, moral encouragement, and practical assistance could be crucial to those who became victims of crime. Third, trauma-focused treatments might significantly enhance resilience by ensuring the principles of safety, being empowerment, cultural competency, and connectedness are being able to provide. Finally, it seems to be reasonable to help communities and individuals stand for their interests and defend their rights, by including them in the process of decision-making, and creating new bonds, and encouraging them to use available resources for being able to resist the problems and cope with stressors (Hussain, Khan, & Chandio, 2023). The text under consideration suggests that resilience should be a guiding principle in crime response. Indeed, such a perspective may allow one to help both individuals and communities to resist the negative impacts associated with crime and victimization. By developing resilience campaigns, it is possible to develop systems that will help people to heal and recover, as well as foster their well-being. Taking into account its ability to develop communities' resources, such an approach should be used as a guiding principle in such a field (Hussain, Khan, Chandio, & Oad, 2023).

3.2.   Human Rights: Principles And Legal Framework

Human rights significantly contribute to the nature of the functioning society, serving as a fundamental concept of equality and adequate treatment of people. Everyone is entitled to these rights without distinction, as all people are free and possess their inherent capacity to existence in dignity. Equal and human rights mean that all people have a wide range of civil, political, economic, social, and cultural rights. To ensure their effectiveness and proper application, it is essential to be familiar with the conceptual foundation and legal environment of these principles (A. S. Khan, Bibi, Khan, & Ahmad, 2023). Human rights are based on several fundamental principles that determine their understanding and application. First, human rights are universal, and everyone is entitled to them irrespective of their nationality, identity, or any other characteristic. Second, human rights are inalienable, which implies that they are not subject to cession, temporary loss, or deprivation. Third, human rights are indivisible and interrelated, meaning that the exercise of one right is often conditioned by the practicing of another right. Fourth, human rights should be protected without people being discriminated against. Fifth, states must protect human rights because they have both national and international responsibilities for ensuring accountability and legitimizing the rule of law (Asif Khan, Iqbal, & Ahmad, 2022; Asif Khan & Ximei, 2022). The legal framework for human rights is established through various international treaties, conventions, and declarations, which set out binding obligations on states to respect, protect, and fulfill human rights. Key international human rights instruments include the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), which provides a comprehensive framework of fundamental human rights and freedoms. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) guarantees civil and political rights, while the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) recognizes economic, social, and cultural rights. Other instruments, such as the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT) and the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), address specific human rights issues (Asif Khan, Javed, Khan, & Rizwi, 2022).

In the field of crime response, a human rights-based approach is focused on the respect for the rights and human dignity of every person related to the crime: victims, suspects, offenders. This approach means that all people have the right to justice without discrimination based on social status, race, and other differences. In addition, it suggests following due process standards, such as a presumption of innocence and the right to a fair trial. In crime response, the most essential feature of the human rights-based approach is being victim-centered, which means that the approach has the utmost concern over human rights of the crime victims and ensures access to crime victim support services . Another aspect, which is human rights-oriented in crime response is the challenge to discrimination in order to promote equality in the criminal justice system (Asif Khan, Bhatti, & Jillani, 2021). Implementing a human-rights-based approach to crime response allows developing proper criminal justice systems based on principles like fairness, equality, and respect toward every human. It is about an intentional decision to enhance access to justice, protect rights to due process, pay more attention or victims, eliminate the discrimination, invest into prevention and rehabilitation facilities. Introducing human rights elements into the crime response strategies, states strive for creating fairer, more equal, and just societies where all people are viewed as equals and their rights and dignity are respected to the greatest extend (USMAN, KHAN, & AMJAD, 2021).

3.3. Integrating Resilience And Human Rights Perspectives In Crime Response

For the development of powerful, fair, and humane mechanisms of addressing crime and victimization, two perspectives that may be used are Resilience and Human Rights. When they are employed together, it becomes possible to work in accordance with needs and principles of justice and dignity that communities and individuals affected by crime may require (Usman, Amjad, & Khan, 2021). Promoting Access to Justice and Due Process Rights: Enabling access to justice, as well as honoring Due Process rights, is a critical axiom of both resilience and human rights looking glasses. On ensuring access to justice, the goal of individuals affected by crime is to receive redress, recourse, and support to respond to and recover from the violations and their perilous outcomes. These come in the form of legal aid services; and eliminating the need to comply with rules that result in discrimination, for example, not providing for means to address unaffordability of justice, the corresponding rights to a fair trial and capacity to face one's violator. This means that due process rights such as the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty by a court of law, fair trial rights and protection against arbitrary arrest and detention serve the function of protecting the rights of individuals affected by crime and ensures that justice is delivered fairly and impartially. Honoring due process rights ensure legitimacy and popular support for criminal justice activities, minimizes the risk of mistaken conviction, and ensures accountability of human rights abuse participants (Usman, Amjad, & Khan, 2021).

Fostering Victim-Centered Approaches: Victim-centered approaches are located at the junction of resilience and human rights approaches, as they imply that all legal efforts should be focused on the needs, rights, and voice of the victims of the crime. To put it simply, such an approach presupposes that victims should be assured protection, support, help, and participation in the legal proceeding, and their personal experiences and views are crucially important to make the legal measures more rooted in reality. Since support of victims and their interests should become an essential part of legal frameworks, it is possible to make criminal justice systems assist the victims in asserting their rights, knowing possible option, accessing services, being emotionally supported, ensuring safety, and protecting well-being. Additionally, victim-centered approaches call for giving the factor of diversity considerable attention and focusing on the rights and interests of all the categories of the victims, particularly marginalizes and vulnerable ones (Ali Khan & Mumtaz, 2020). Addressing Structural Inequalities and Root Causes of Crime: There are two things which are both important for the purposes of resilience and human rights: addressing structural inequalities and reducing the root causes of crime. There are many structural inequalities that can have a negative impact on the field of public safety. For instance, it is possible to speak about poverty and other problems that make people more vulnerable in crime in question. If left unanswered, these structural inequalities further perpetuate the crime and victimization, reducing people's resilience to them. On the one hand, the existing criminal justice system should introduce a series of measures to stop crime and victimization from taking place in the first place, responding to them. They have to introduce proof policies and activities to improve social and economic inclusion, eliminate the prevailing disparities in fallowed education, employment opportunities, and access to healthcare, as well as dealing with systemic discrimination and marginalization. On the other hand, one of the greatest long-term strategies regulatory authorities have is to tackle the root causes of crime . Thus, one can mention the case of poverty, various inequalities, social exclusion, etc. As well as that, these initiatives have to change the way they provide justice, investing in such aspects as community development, at-risk individual and family support, and positive youth development (Asif Khan, Usman, & Amjad, 2020).

Building Strong and Inclusive Communities: One of the shared aims of resilience and human rights perspectives is building vibrant and inclusive communities. Communities are critical as they facilitate social cohesion, cooperation, and mutual aid that, in turn, reduce crime and enhance the overall quality of life. Strong and inclusive communities are characterized by social relationships, which include family and friends, and shared sense of belonging. Such communities not only provide individuals and families with support to thrive in a challenging environment but also maintain their property safe. The development of strong and inclusive communities is an important factor for the promotion of resilience-specific dimensions, specifically social support and social capital. Criminal justice systems can develop strong and inclusive communities in various ways. Specifically, these may include partnering with local community organizations, faith-based institutions, and community members to address their

safety concerns and promote crime and drug prevention through community cohesion. No one-size-fits-all approach can be used to develop strong and inclusive communities, and, thus, criminal justice actors should work together with the targeted communities to identify the existing issues and ways to resolve them. Similar to the call to build strong and inclusive communities, criminal justice actors are also called to develop resilient, inclusive communities. Inclusivity presupposes respect by the members of the community of value of others irrespective of their gender, age, ethnicity, and sexual orientation. Applying a human rights perspective and a resilience perspective in crime response in relationship to the target community is a key consideration as such a whole and humane approach will ensure that all community members are treated with respect and dignity (Asif Khan, Usman, & Amjad, 2020).

3.4. Challenges And Considerations
Navigating the integration of resilience and human rights perspectives in crime response presents several challenges and considerations. Addressing these challenges is essential for ensuring that efforts to promote resilience and uphold human rights within criminal justice systems are effective, equitable, and sustainable.

3.5. Balancing Individual Rights and Collective Security
Proper balancing of individual rights and collective security is the main challenge faced by integrating resilience and human rights perspective in the response to the crime. Though the goals of promoting resilience and up holding human rights are still primary, they have to be weighted against the necessity of the public safety guarantees and crime prevention interventions. On the one hand, measures aimed to increase collective security levels, such as strengthening policing or imposing additional surveillance, might pose a threat to individual rights. In particular, they can violate such liberties as freedom of expression, privacy or due process or interfere with property rights. Moreover, particularly restrictive or intrusive measures like those enacted during states of emergency may have disproportionately harsh implications for dissident or criminal populations. Hence, on the other hand, security measures aimed to achieve collective security should be judged by their potential harm to individual rights and threats to normal functioning. Additionally, the proper measure consists of integrating adequate safeguards into the security systems in order to avoid any unnecessary uncertainties and secure accountability (Asif Khan, Usman, & Amjad, 2020).

3.6. Ensuring Accountability and Rule of Law
In addition, it is usually difficult to challenge the legitimacy of power, vested interests, or "who gets what". These factors also reduce the possibility of changing the conditions of existence in a more sustainable way, oppose resilience, and do not consider the human rights aspect. But the greatest difficulty in combining these two approaches to the problem of crime response is that a social system must reckon with the rule of law in order to implement the rule of law. As noted in the case of the Dicle-Firat case, in situations where short-term political success is particularly important, it may take some time to ensure the accountability of those responsible. It is impossible to ensure the principle of the rule of law as such today, and it is very expensive to do so. The principle of the rule of law requires professionalism from those who practice it, which cannot always be achieved. There is no such divergence in resilience-oriented crime response. Thus, the combination of these two approaches at first glance reveals that in reality, the principle of the rule of law is not observed in any format, which contradicts the topic it touches (Kanwel & Shah, 2024).

3.7. Overcoming Resistance to Change and Structural Barriers
Integrating resilience and human rights perspectives in crime response often faces resistance from different stakeholders, including policymakers, practitioners, and community members. This resistance can be related to a variety of factors, such as institutional inertia, fear of the new, or unwillingness to challenge the existed norms and practices. Moreover, addressing structural barriers, such as the systemic inequality, discrimination, or lack of resources, is challenging and requires concerted efforts and commitment from all sides. Therefore, to successfully promote the principles of resilience and human rights within the frameworks of the criminal justice system, the stakeholders should work to achieve the transformation that overcomes the resistance and challenges and ensures the meaningful and profound reforms that tackle the root causes of crime and victimization, as well as promote the structural reforms and systemic changes that enhance the resilience of the involved agencies and upholds the rights of all individuals. Mitigating the resistance to change and the structural

barriers requires conducting the dialogue with stakeholders and building the network of entities in support of the needed reforms. Moreover, the capacity-building efforts that improve the performance of agencies and promote diversity, inclusion, and empowerment is crucial for the successful implement of the needed transformations. Finally, balancing the individual rights and public security and ensuring accountability and rule of law is one of the primary concerns of the stakeholders, which requires the development of the response strategy. Thus, integrating the resilience and human rights perspectives in crime response is a complex process that requires the consideration of different factors and the conduct of concerted efforts from different stakeholders (Kanwel, Yasmin, & Usman, 2023).

3.8. Case Studies and Best Practices

There are diverse cases study and to some extent best practices that can be used to study how to integrate the perspective of resilience and human rights in responding to crime. The effectiveness of restorative justice programs, trauma focused approach to policing and prosecution, community-based initiatives and partnership also based on the extent to which these strategies helped in enhancing resiliency, safeguarding human rights and increasing the efficiency of crime response systems (Muhammad Imran Khan & Kanwel, 2023).

3.9. Restorative Justice Programs

Restorative justice programs have been considered an alternative for traditional punishment approaches. Restorative justice programs are designed to unite offenders, victims, and members of the community in order to promote healing, reconciliation, and accountability. These programs are supposed to provide instructions, explanations, and assistance for all people parties in the dialogues to perform restoration and respond to the causes of crime. An example of restorative justice can be observed in New Zealand where the Family Group Conferences were developed in the 1990's to provide a model of restorative justice that responded to the requirements and emotions of victims, as well as increased the level of offenders' responsibility for their deeds. Here, the representative of the family, friends and one or several others participate in the dialogue in order to reach mutual agreement on how to recover the damage, continue committing crimes in the future, and how to reintegrate into the community (Kanwel, Khan, & Usman, 2023). A restorative justice program is a relevant measure initially because it is effective in terms of improved satisfaction of victims, reduced reoffending, and enhanced participation of members of society. The program is also versatile, as it is consistent with both resilience and human rights perspectives. On the one hand, it helps improve offenders' psychological well-being while ensuring applied measures are adapted to the situation and the needs of victims. On the other hand, the program is also concerned with alternatives to a traditional focus on punishment or deterrence that enhances other parties' dignity, empowerment, and accountability (Muhammad Imran Khan, Shah, & Kanwel, 2023).

3.10. Trauma-Informed Approaches to Policing and Prosecution

Most trauma-informed approaches to policing and prosecution aim to identify the impact of trauma on the lives of either party and works toward reducing that impact, and this is all achieved through very compassionate, trauma-informed and culturally sensitive means. It also places a great deal of emphasis on identifying the root causes of behavior, and working towards recovering or healing the attacker and protective party alike (Kanwel, Hassan, & Ayub, 2023). An example of such integration may be trauma-informed policing practices in Glasgow, Scotland. The Violent Reduction Unit in Glasgow has been the first to adopt trauma-informed practices in the realm of reducing violent crime, focusing on its prevention in advance through early interventions and victims' support . Thanks to this initiative, the police were educated on trauma and adverse childhood experiences in humans and were able to reduce the rates of violent crime and enhance community polarity towards them, whereas victims and subsequently offenders become more resilient and heal. There is an example of another form of integration of resilience with human rights – prosecution of offenders via trauma-informed courts. For example, both sexual assault and domestic violence trauma-informed courts primarily guarantee: "safety of survivors, their autonomy, and access to all the services and supports they need to heal." At the same time, the courts hold offenders accountable, which is necessary for Ezie's approach to work (Muhammad Imran Khan, Nisar, & Kanwel, 2023; Muhammed Imran Khan & Qadri, 2023).

3.11. Community-Based Initiatives and Partnerships

Another approach that can be applied to both purposes is community-based initiatives and partnerships. They may involve criminal justice agencies, community organizations, faith-based groups, and others in local communities who work together to address local crime and safety problems . Such initiatives work to build social cohesion and empower residents to participate in crime prevention and general community development. For example, a prominent case of a community-based initiative is the Cure Violence model implemented in Chicago and then in other cities around the world. It regards violence as a public health problem and uses a community-based approach to interrupt transmission, identify and intervene with those at the highest risk, and change social norms. In this model, community mediators, who are usually respected members of the community and have special credibility with those involved in violence are used to deliver the message and mediate the conflicts. As a result, such initiatives have demonstrated significant reductions in violent crime (Kanwel, Hassan, & Ayub, 2023).

Partnerships between police and mental health providers, social service organizations, and community leaders have similarly shown promise in helping to instill resilience, reduce recidivism, and improve outcomes for those incarcerated for mental health or substance abuse issues. By facilitating access to support services, diversion programs, and alternatives to jail and prison, such systems also address the underlying social determinants of crime. For example, case studies and best practices including the use of trauma-informed prosecution and policing, community and systems partnership-based responses, and restorative justice show promise in guiding efforts to incorporate resilience and human rights perspectives into general crime response efforts. Through lessons learned from these programs and practices, it is possible for criminal justice systems to ensure the most effective and equitable processes for addressing issues of crime (Sipra, Ilahi, & Khan, 2024).

4. Conclusion

Therefore, integrating resilience potential and human rights into response to crime is feasible, and there is significant potential in this effort as a tool for promoting justice, healing, and well-being of the community. The paper explored fundamental principles, challenges, cases, and best practices of such an approach that demonstrated the significance of a more comprehensive strategy in crime and victimization response. Balancing human rights of individuals and needs of the community, ensuring accountability of violators, and addressing structural vulnerabilities seem viable and fruitful in a more holistic approach. Restorative justice, trauma-informed policing and prosecution, and community policing are several examples of such measures. This approach also emphasizes the significance of the empowering victims and collaborative, constructive attitude that partnership between stakeholders represents. Finally, through mitigation of harm, reconciliation, and prevention, such an approach seemingly may outgrow repressive reaction to crime which is insufficient and unequal. This will further make our response to crime more researched, reflective, and potentially evidence-based. It will also help to systematize the efforts and apply it systematically rather than randomly. However, as the research on this topic further unwraps, it is important to respect the fundamental principles of our response to crime through ensuring justice and human dignity, which also implies human rights of all individuals, victims, and perpetrators. Further development should rely only on these fundamentals in regards to integrating approaches to human rights and resilience into our action on a society level.

References

Bonanno, G. A. (2008). Loss, trauma, and human resilience: have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events? doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1942-9681.S.1.101

Eshan, M. S. O., Nafi, M. N. H., Sakib, N., Emon, M. H., Reza, T., Parvez, M. Z., . . . Chakraborty, S. (2023). *Byzantine-Resilient Federated Learning Leveraging Confidence Score to Identify Retinal Disease.* Paper presented at the 2023 International Conference on Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications (DICTA).

Faisal, S. M., Usman, M., & Khan, A. (2023). Unraveling the Constitutional Quandry: The Status of FATA Pre-Merger in the 1973 Constitution. *Pakistan JL Analysis & Wisdom, 2*, 591.

Fergusson, L., van der Laan, L., Shallies, B., & Baird, M. (2020). Work, resilience and sustainable futures: the approach of work-based research to problems and their solutions. *Journal of Work-Applied Management, 12*(1), 22-41. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/JWAM-11-2019-0036

Fletcher, R. (2018). # RepealedThe8th: Translating travesty, global conversation, and the Irish abortion referendum. *Feminist Legal Studies, 26*(3), 233-259. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10691-018-9396-x

Goodhart, M. E. (2016). *Human rights: politics and practice*: Oxford university press.

Hussain, N., Khan, A., & Chandio, L. A. (2023). Legal Safeguards against Mob Justice: An Analysis of Blasphemy Laws in Pakistan and International Human Rights Norms. *Al-Qamar*, 13-26. doi:https://doi.org/10.53762/alqamar.06.01.e02

Hussain, N., Khan, A., Chandio, L. A., & Oad, S. (2023). Individual criminal responsibility for the crime of aggression: the role of the ICC's Leadership Clause. *Pakistan journal of humanities and social sciences, 11*(1), 223-232. doi:https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2023.1101.0344

Jellason, N. P., Baines, R. N., & Conway, J. S. (2017). A delphi approach to the selection of good agricultural practices (GAPs) for resilience enhancement for dry land farmers in North-Western Nigeria. *Journal of Food Science and Engineering, 7*(8), 383-395.

Kaniasty, K., & Norris, F. H. (2008). Longitudinal linkages between perceived social support and posttraumatic stress symptoms: Sequential roles of social causation and social selection. *Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official Publication of The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, 21*(3), 274-281. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.20334

Kanwel, S., Hassan, S. S. U., & Ayub, N. (2023). Critical Analysis of Sentences in the Criminal Justice System of Pakistan. *Pakistan JL Analysis & Wisdom, 2*, 547.

Kanwel, S., Khan, M. I., & Usman, M. (2023). From Bytes to Bars: The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Criminal Justice. *Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences, 4*(4), 84-89. doi:https://doi.org/10.55737/qjss.059046443

Kanwel, S., & Shah, S. (2024). Balancing Justice: Exploring the Interface of Crime and Human Rights. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN AND SOCIETY, 4*(1), 421-437.

Kanwel, S., Yasmin, T., & Usman, M. (2023). Crime and Human Rights in Pakistan: An Analysis in Light of International Law. *Traditional Journal of Law and Social Sciences, 2*(02), 71–81-71–81.

Khan, A., Bhatti, S. H., & Jillani, M. A. H. S. (2021). An overview on individual criminal liability for crime of aggression. *Liberal Arts & Social Sciences International Journal (LASSIJ)*.

Khan, A., Iqbal, N., & Ahmad, I. (2022). Human Trafficking in Pakistan: A Qualitative Analysis. *Journal of Social Sciences Review, 2*(3), 257-268. doi:https://doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v2i3.136

Khan, A., Javed, K., Khan, A. S., & Rizwi, A. (2022). Aggression and individual criminal responsibility in the perspective of Islamic law. *Competitive Social Science Research Journal, 3*(1), 35-48.

Khan, A., & Jiliani, M. A. H. S. (2023). Expanding The Boundaries Of Jurisprudence In The Era Of Technological Advancements. *IIUMLJ, 31*, 393.

Khan, A., & Mumtaz, M. (2020). Justice Delayed Is Justice Denied: Access to Speedy Justice and Alternative Dispute Resolution System in Pakistan. *JL & Soc. Pol'y*, 80.

Khan, A., Usman, M., & Amjad, S. (2020). Enforcing Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights: A Global Imperative. *International Review of Social Sciences (IRSS), 8*(09).

Khan, A., & Ximei, W. (2022). Digital economy and environmental sustainability: Do Information Communication and Technology (ICT) and economic complexity matter? *International journal of environmental research and public health, 19*(19), 12301. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191912301

Khan, A. S., Bibi, A., Khan, A., & Ahmad, I. (2023). Responsibility of Sexual Violence Under International Law. *Journal of Social Sciences Review, 3*(1), 29-41. doi:https://doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v3i1.110

Khan, M. I., & Kanwel, S. (2023). Crime And Pakistan's Legal Framework: A Critical Analysis. *International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences.. ISSN (E) 2959-2461 (P) 2959-3808, 2*(3), 558-565.

Khan, M. I., Nisar, A., & Kanwel, S. (2023). From Punishment to Progress: The Legal Evolution of Criminal Rehabilitation. *Pakistan JL Analysis & Wisdom, 2*, 556.

Khan, M. I., & Qadri, N. A. (2023). REFORMING CRIMINAL REHABILITATION PRACTICES: A CASE STUDY OF PAKISTAN. *International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences.. ISSN (E) 2959-2461 (P) 2959-3808, 2*(3), 622-628.

Khan, M. I., & Riaz, N. (2024). Blasphemy Laws in Pakistan: A Legal Analysis and Contemporary Discourse. *International Journal of Social Science Archives (IJSSA), 7*(1), 67-71.

Khan, M. I., Shah, S., & Kanwel, S. (2023). Rehabilitation Reconsidered: A Comprehensive Legal Analysis. *Journal of Asian Development Studies, 12*(3), 1075-1081. doi:https://doi.org/10.62345/

Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American psychologist, 56*(3), 227. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.227

Riaz, N., & Usman, M. (2024). Blasphemy Laws in Contemporary Legal Systems. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN AND SOCIETY, 4*(1), 164-171.

Shaw, J., Best, C. T., Docherty, G., Evans, B. G., Foulkes, P., Hay, J., & Mulak, K. E. (2018). Resilience of English vowel perception across regional accent variation. *Laboratory Phonology, 9*(1). doi:http://doi.org/10.5334/labphon.87

Sipra, S. Z., Ilahi, M. I., & Khan, M. I. (2024). From Byte to Bail: Assessing Challenges and Opportunities in AI-Driven Criminal Justice Systems. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN AND SOCIETY, 4*(1), 184-195.

Ungar, M. (2011). Community resilience for youth and families: Facilitative physical and social capital in contexts of adversity. *Children and Youth Services Review, 33*(9), 1742-1748. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2011.04.027

United Nations, u. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/

United Nations, u. (1966). *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*. Retrieved from https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx

United Nations, u. (1984). *Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment*. Retrieved from https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/cat.aspx

Usman, M., Amjad, S., & Khan, A. (2021). Human Trafficking and Smuggling: Intersection with Maritime Law and International Cooperation.

USMAN, M., KHAN, A., & AMJAD, S. (2021). Implications of Transnational Crime on Maritime Jurisdiction and Enforcement.